		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	arv 1	10/771,643	i	WAHLSTROM E	ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview cummary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Mark W. Bockelman		3766	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on:	_	
(1) Mark W. Bockelman.		(3)			
(2) <u>Michael Soldner</u> .	•	(4)			
Date of Interview: 7 January 2005		Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	· · · .	s representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Last Office action					
Claims discussed:				•	
51, 61				Ÿ	•
Prior art documents discussed: Last Office action				•	
Part II.		. ·			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Proposed examiner's amendment accepted	: GENERAL	_ NATURE OF WHA	T WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sediment 	tion. The exparate reco	caminer will provide a	a writter of the i	n summary of the nterview, since	ne substance
· .					·
MulBull	-				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Ap	plicant's Representa	tive Sig	nature – if appro	opriate)